THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHATE COME:

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

Thereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED DISTINCT VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED, OR TUBER PROPAGATED, PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW INSUCHCASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT. VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPONDUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF TWENTY YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, OR IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR CONDITIONING IT FOR OPAGATION, OR STOCKING IT FOR ANY OF THE ABOVE PURPOSES, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT Y THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT. (84 STAT. 1542, AS U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'9244'

In Testimony Aberreof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Hunt Pariety Arotection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this thirtieth day of June in the year of our Lord thousand nine hundred and vivolve eight

Attest:

Acting Commissioner
Plant Variety Protection Office
Marie Mark W. Acting Science

Agricultur

CAPACITY OR TITLE

Soybean Research Coordinator

D. John Grace III

CAPACITY OR TITLE

DATE

EXHIBIT A

ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY

Breeding History of 9244 Soybean

- 1985 (Summer) A cross was made between 'A2943' and '9061' at the Pioneer research station in Waterloo, Iowa. The stock number "3966" was assigned to identify the population created by this cross.
- 1985-87 Population 3966 was advanced through the F4 generation using modified single seed descent.
- 1987 F5 generation of population 3966 was grown in Redwood Falls, Minnesota. Single plants were harvested.
- F5;F6 progeny rows were grown in identified rows. Row RFPR8-13256 was selected and the seed harvested from plants within the row was composited to form the line designated 3966R022.
- 1989 3966R022 was entered into a preliminary yield trial (Test: RFD204-20).
- 3966R02 entered advanced yield trials in Minnesota (test: RFA2B100). Plants were pulled from a rouged bulk for purification purposes.
- 1991 Entered into elite yield trials across the Group I growing regions of the United States and Canada (Tests: RFA2E000, NPA2E000, CFA2E000, SJA2E000, JHA2E000). Purification rows derived from plants pulled in 1990 were harvested. Rows containing offtypes were rouged or discarded
- Second year of elite yield testing across the United States and Canada (Tests: RFA2E000, CFA2E000, NPA2E000, SJA2E000, JHA2E000). A 3.5 acre production block (breeder seed) was grown in Waterloo, Iowa.
- Third year in elite yield testing across the United States and Canada (Tests: RFA2E000, CFA2E000, NPA2E000, SJA2E000, JHA2E000, RFVXB24H). A 155 acre production block (foundation seed) was grown in Waterloo, Iowa.
- 1994 .Fourth year in elite yield testing across the United States and Canada (Tests: RFA2E000, CFA2E000, NPA2E000, SJA2E000, JHA2E000, RFVXB24H).
- Based on superior yield for maturity, standability, and yellow hila suitable for some food markets, the line was released as '9244'.

EXHIBIT A

ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY

Breeding History of 9244 Soybean continued

'9244' has undergone four years of extensive testing and purification. It has been observed by the breeder to be uniform and stable for all plant traits from generation to generation, with no evidence of variants.

Three and one half acres of 9244 (breeder's seed) were grown during the winter of 1992. One hundred fifty five acres of 9244 (foundation seed equivalent) were grown in 1993.

EXHIBIT B: NOVELTY STATEMENT CONCERNING 9244 SOYBEAN

To our knowledge, variety 9244 is most similar to A2187, Amsoy 71, Corsoy, Hardin, Harcor, '2480', and '9203' (PVP applied for). However, all lines display different isozyme patterns (Table 1).

Table 1. Isozyme profiles of 9244, 2480, 9203, A2187, Amsoy 71, Corsoy, Hardin, and Harcor.

				Isc	zyme							
Variety	ACO2	ACO3	ACO4	ACP	DIA	ENP	IDHI	IDH2	MDH	MPI	PGM1	PHI1
9244	2	1	1	A	A,B	A	1	2	A	В	1	2
2480	2	1	1,3	Α	B	A	2	2	Α	Α	1	2
9203	2	1	1	Α	В	Α	1	2	A,B	Α	1	1
A2187	2	1	3	В	В	Α	1	1	Á	Α	2	2
Amsoy 71	2	1	3	Α	Α	Α	1	1	A	Ā	1.2	1.2
Corsoy	2.	1	3	Α	В	Α	2	1	Α	В	1	1
Hardin	2	1	3	Α	В	Α	2	Ī	A	В	ĩ	ĩ
Harcor	2	1	3	Α	В	Α	2	1	A	В	Ī	2

Key:

Aconitase: ACO2, ACO3, ACO4

Acid Phosphatase: ACP Diaphorase: DIA Endopeptidase: ENP

Isocitrate Dehydrogenase: IDH1, IDH2

Malate Dehydrogenase: MDH

Mannose 6-Phosphate Isomerase: MPI

Phosphoglucomutase: PGM Phosphoglucose Isomerase: PHI

 \Box

EXHIBIT B: NOVELTY STATEMENT CONCERNING 9244 SOYBEAN

(continued)

9244 also differs from comparison varieties as noted below:

A2187: A2187 has a significantly lower percentage of linoleic acid (18:2) in oil derived form its seed

than 9244 (Table 2).

Amsoy 71: Amsoy 71 has significantly larger seed size than 9244 (Table 3).

Corsoy: Corsoy is significantly taller than 9244 (Table 4).

Hardin: Hardin has a significantly lower percentage of linoleic acid in oil derived from its seed (Table

5).

Harcor: Harcor has a significantly higher seed protein percentage than 9244 (Table 6).

2480: 2480 has significantly larger seed size than 9244 (Table 7).

9203: 9203 has a significantly higher stearic acid level than 9244 (Table 8).

Pione	er Hi-B	red Int'l Ir	nc.	1						
			1 Soybean		 					
May 2								Formula for Star	ndard Error Calculation	ns:
Table	2 7 T	toot oom			1074			<u></u> -		
				y244 vs. Az year analys				\Box 1 Σ	$(X1-X2)^2 - (\Sigma X1-X2)^2$) ²/n
				orded as a				SE diff =	·, ·	
1-1		-		and linole	•		J1	ገ . '.	/ \	
Pani	T	- Cano, oic	, III 10101C	and in ole	THE GEN	J3.	1] \	(n)(n-1)	
YEAR	roc	REP	9244 (X1)	A2187 (X2)	X1-X2	(X1-X2)	2			
				linoleic ac						
	106D							93 ANALYSIS		
	106D	·						e X1 =	58.07	
	106D	3						Θ X2 =	55.13	
	106D							<u>= (Ave X1 - Ave X2</u>		
	1061	1	58.4					diff = SQRT of	0.057	
1993		2.	57.8		-			diff =	0.239	
1993		3	58.5					d/SE diff =	12.284	
1993		4	58.0						11	
	109C		57.2	55.2				b > t =	0.00000009	
I	109C		56.9	55.4				993 Standard Erro	r Calculation:	
	109C	3	57.6]			
	109C	4	57.4						8 - ((35.2) ² /12)	1
1993		SUM	696.8	661.6			SI	$diff_{93} = 1$		
		MEAN	58.07	55.13		1	Щ	V (12	(11)	
		n =	12	groups of i	ndividu	ials I				<u> </u>
1004	105A	1	57.1	53.2	20	15.01	10	24 A M A L VOIO		
	105A	2	56.9		3.9 2.3			94 ANALYSIS e X1 =	57.64	
	105A		56.6	55.8				e X2 =	54.81	
	106D	1	58.3	56.1	2.2	4.84		(Ave X1 - Ave X2	2.83	
	106D	2	57.6	54.0	3.6	12.96		diff = SQRT of	0.10838	
1994		3	57.5	53.8	3.7	13.69		diff =	0.3292	
1994		4	57.3	56.1	1.2	1.44		d/SE diff =	8.588	
1994		1	57.6	54.9		7.29	df		10	
1994		2	58.6	55.1	3.5	12.25		b>t=	0.0000062934	
1994		3	57.9	54.7	3.2	10.24		994 Standard Error		
1994		4	58.6	54.6	4.0	16.00		o i comiduid iliio	Calculation.	
1994		SUM	634.0	602.9		99.85	-	1 00.85	- ((31.1) ² /12)	
		MEAN	57.64	54.81	2.83		$\parallel_{\rm SF}$	$diff_{93} = $	- ((31.1) /12)	
		n =		groups of ir					(10)	
				,		-			., (+0)	
TOTAL		SUM	1330.8	1264.5		210.63	_	MBINED 1993-94		
		MEAN	57.86	54.98				= X1 =	57.86	
		n =	23	groups of ir	<u>ndividu</u>	als		€ X2 =	54.98	
10000	!== !<	<u> </u>						(Ave X1 - Ave X2	2.88	
Locat	ion Ke	y:						diff = SQRT of	0.03856	
1054	14/	عادات المالد	1:				· · · - · · - ·	diff =	0.196	
			1innesota	t~				d/SE diff =	14.679	
1			s, Minneso	iu			df:		22	
		nents, Min						b > t =	0.00000000000076	· -
1	•	tone, Min					Щ(combined Standard	l Error Calculation:	
TIUYC:	JCCK\$	on, Minne	esora				Ш			
1									63 - ((66.3) ² /23)	
1							S	$E \operatorname{diff}_{comb} = 1$		ļ
4							\perp	N (23) (22)	
							46			

Piones	er Hi-Ri	ed Int'l i	nc		Γ				
			4 Soybean						
May 2		11011724	4 30 y Deart						
14104 2	1//0	İ							
T-1-1-	A T 1			1 1					
)			parison of 924	4 versus Ar	nsoy / I	for seed L			
size (grams	per nur	ndred seeds).						
\/E A D		DED.	. 71.00	0044.000	142.145	0.7.1.002			
YEAR	LOC	KEP	Amsoy 71 (X1)			(X1-X2)*	Ave X1 =	18.92	
1004	1054	<u> </u>	seed size (c				Ave X2 =	16.88	
	105A		19.0				d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2		
	105A	2	19.0		2.0		<u>n = </u>	12 0	groups of individuals
1994		3	19.0	15.5	3.5				
1994		4	18.5	15.5	3.0				
1994		1	20.0	17.5	2.5				-
1994		2	20.0	17.5	2.5		Σ (Χ1	$-X2)^{2}$ - $(\Sigma X1-X2)$:)
1994		3	19.5	17.5	2.0		²/n		
1994		4	18.0	17.0	1.0		SE diff =		
1994		1	19.0	17.0	2.0	4.00			
1994		2	18.0	17.0	1.0	1.00	\	(n) (n-1)	
1994		3	18.0	17.0	1.0	1.00			
1994	108B	4	19.0	17.5	1.5	2.25		2	
							1 57.25- ((24.5)²/12)	***************************************
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SUM	227.0	202.5	24.5		SE diff =		
		MEAN	18.92	16.88	2.04	= d	\ \ \ (12	2) (11)	
Locati	ion Ke	y:							
_							SE diff = SQRT of	0.055	
105A:	Wood	d Lake, i	Vinnesota				SE diff =	0.234	
106D :	Redv	ood fa	lls, Minnesota				t = d/SE diff =	8.724	
108B:	Pipest	one, Mi	nnesota				df =	11	
							Prob > t =	0.0000028	

									•

1993 109C 2 61.0 5	is defined as omost pod. i ately 15 feet X2) X1-X2 (X	s the distar Plots were long. (1-X2) ² 9.00	nt	tandard Error Calculations: Σ (X1-X2) ² - (Σ X1-X2) ² / (n) (n-1) 65.00
Table 4. T-test comparison of 9244 vs. (cm), 1993-94 2-year analysis. Height (in cm) from the soil surface to the top four 30 inch rows wide and approximately approximate	is defined as property in the state of the s	s the distar Plots were long. (1-X2) ² 9.00	SE diff = 	(n) (n-1)
(cm), 1993-94 2-year analysis. Height (in cm) from the soil surface to the top four 30 inch rows wide and approximate (Corsoy (X1)) 9244 (Corso	is defined as property in the state of the s	s the distar Plots were long. (1-X2) ² 9.00	SE diff = 	(n) (n-1)
plant height (c 1993 109C) 1 64.0 6 1993 109C) 2 61.0 5	om) o1.0 3.0 o1.0 10.0 o1.0 10.0	9.00	Ave X1 =	
plant height (c 1993 109C) 1 64.0 6 1993 109C) 2 61.0 5	om) o1.0 3.0 o1.0 10.0 o1.0 10.0	9.00	Ave X1 =	
1993 109C 1 64.0 6 1993 109C 2 61.0 5	51.0 3.0 51.0 10.0 51.0 10.0			
1993 109C 2 61.0 5	1.0 10.0 1.0 10.0			54.75
	10.0		d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	10.25
			SE diff = SQRT of	9,396
1993 109C 4 74.0 5	11.1.11 10.1.11		SE diff =	3.065
	9.0 41.0		t = d/SE diff =	3.344
	.75 10.25 =		df =	3
	s of individuo		Prob > † =	0.04425820
n- agroup.	3 OF IT GIVICULE	413	1993 Standard Error	
			1993 Standard Error	Calculation:
				- ((41.0) ² /4)
	 		SE diff ₉₃ = 1	
			\I (4)) (3)
		3		·
EAR LOC REP Corsoy (X1) 9244 ()		(1-X2) ⁻		
plant height (c				
	2.0 15.0		1994 ANALYSIS	
	2.0 7.0		Ave X1 =	97.50
	9.0 13.0		Ave X2 =	83.00
	9.0 18.0		d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	14.50
	8.0 31.0		SE diff = SQRT of	7.85714
	4.0 15.0		SE diff =	2.8031
1994 108B 3 86.0 74	4.0 12.0	144.00	t = d/SE diff =	5.173
	6.0 5.0		df =	7
1994 SUM 780.0 664	4.0 116.0 2	2122.00	Prob > t =	0.0012909234
MEAN 97.50 83.	.00 14.50 =	d	1994 Standard Error	Calculation:
n = 8 groups	s of individua	als	1	
	1		1 2122 -	· ((116) ² /8)
			SE diff ₉₃ =	
			7	(7)
			1 (0)	(1)
DTAL SUM 1040.0 883	3.0 157.0 2	2655.00	COMBINED 1993-94 A	NALYSIS
MEAN 86.67 73.	.58 13.08		Ave X1 =	86.67
n = 12 groups	of individua	als	Ave X2 =	73.58
			d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	13.08
			SE diff = SQRT of	4.55240
			SE diff =	2.134
Location Key:			t = d/SE diff =	6.132
			df =	11
105A: Wood Lake, Minnesota (data f	or 9244 in rep		Prob > t =	0.00007394143330
3 was missing in 1994).			Combined Standard	·····
- ,				2.10. Caronia non.
108B: Pipestone, Minnesota			-	(/157)2/10)
p				- ((157) ² /12)
109C: Jackson, Minnesota			$SE diff_{comb} = $	
the contract of the state of th			-	12) (11)
TOTAL TOTAL CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PART			<u> </u>	
The second secon				

Pione	er Hi-P	Bred Int'l Ir	nc.			1	1		1	<u> </u>
			Soybean		 	!	╁╴	Formula for Standa	rd Error Calculations:	T
May 2							†	Totalda for Stands		
,	J			0044	ļ., ,	<u> </u>	‡	\(\nabla \)	$(1-X2)^2 - (\Sigma X1-X2)^2/n$	
				9244 vs. Ha				11	.1-122) - (21121-122) 111	
				year analys			a -	SE diff =		
				corded as			-	<u> </u>	(n)(n-1)	
Tioldi	paim	nic,steand	c, oleic, iin	oleic and li	noienic	acias,	╌	T		
YEAR	LOC	REP	9244 (X1)	Hardin (X2	1X1-X2	(X1-X2)	2	Ţ 		
	1			t linoleic ac		(4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	╁			
1993	106D	1	58.9			6.25	+	1993 ANALYSIS		
	106D		58.8	+				Ave X1 =	58.07	
	106D		58.3	+				Ave X2 =	55.43	
	106D	+	59.0					d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	!	
	1061	1	58.4					SE diff = SQRT of	0.017	
	1061	2	57.8		2.5			SE diff =	0.131	
	1061	3	58.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.8			t = d/SE diff =	20.148	
	1061	4	58.0				_	df =	20.140	
	109C		57.2	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			_	Prob > t =	0.0000000049	
	109C		56.9					1993 Standard Erro		1
	109C		57.6		2.9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	1 1990 Standard Erro	r Calculation:	
	109C		57.8 57.4		2.9		_		((21 T) ² (12)	
1993		SUM	696.8		2.6 31.7		-		- ((31.7) ² /12)	
1773		MEAN	58.07	55.43			-	SE diff ₉₃ =		
-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				\ \ (1	2) (11)	
		n =	12	groups of i	iaiviau	ais				1
1994	105A	1	57.1	54.1	3.0	9.00		1994 ANALYSIS		
	105A	2	56.9		3.5	12.25		Ave X1 =	57.64	
	105A	4	56.6	55.3	1.3	1.69	-	Ave X2 =	54.95	
	106D	1	58.3	55.3	3.0	9.00	_	d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	2.69	
	106D	2	57.6	54.5	3.1	9.61	-	SE diff = SQRT of	0.03699	
	106D	3	57.5	54.2	3.3	10.89	$\overline{}$	SE diff =	0.1923	
	106D	4	57.3	54.9	2.4	5.76	-	t = d/SE diff =	13.991	
1994		1	57.6	55.0	2.6	6.76		df =	10.791	
1994		2	58.6	55.6	3.0	9,00		Prob > † =	0.000000681	
1994		3	57.9	55.9	2.0	4.00		·····	The same and the s	
1994		4	58.6	56.2	2.0	5.76	ᅱ	1994 Standard Error	r Calculation:	
1994		SUM	634.0	604.4	29.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\dashv		2	
1774		MEAN	57.64	54.95	2,69		-		- ((29.6) ² /11)	
							-	SE diff ₉₄ =		
- 1		n =	11	groups of ir	iuiviuu	u15	-	\ \ (1)	(10)	
TOTAL	i	SUM	1330.8	1269.5	61.3	169.73		COMBINED 1993-94 A	NALYSIS	
		MEAN	57.86	55.20	2.67	107.70		Ave X1 =	57.86	<u> </u>
		ח =		groups of ir		nle		Ave X2 =	55.20	
			20	Sicabs Oil	-GIVICU	سان	-	d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	2.67	
Locat	ion Ke	:y:			<u></u>	<u> </u>		SE diff = SQRT of	0.01255	
1	•	•						SE diff = SGRIOI	0.01255	
105A:	Wood	d Lake, M	linnesota					t = d/SE diff =	23.787	
			, Minneso	ta			-	f = α/3ε αιπ =	23.767	
		nents, Min				-			0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
ı		tone, Min				-	+	$\frac{\text{Prob} > \mathbf{f} = }{\text{Combined Standard}}$		<u> </u>
1		on, Minne				<u> </u>	+	Combined Standard	Error Calculation:	
-	00000	O117 14111 1210	J001G				\dashv			
-							+	1	73 - ((61.3) ² /23)	
1							-	$SE diff_{comb} = 1$		
4						 	+	_ \ \ (2	23) (22)	-
<u> </u>							+			<u> </u>
									<u> </u>	

		red Int'i I							
PVP A	oplico	ition 924	4 Soybean						
May 2									
Table	6. T-f	est com	parison of 9	9244 vs. Har	cor for	percent			
	prote			7244 V3, I IGI	COI 101	percerii L			
10000									
YEAR	LOC	REP	Harcor (X1	9244 (X2)	X1-X2	(X1-X2) ²	Ave X1 =	41.26	
			percer	nt protein			Ave X2 =	39.31	
1994	105A]	41.0	39.8	1.2	1.44	d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	1.95	
	105A	2	42.1		3.1	9.61	n =	12	groups of individua
	105A	3	42.2		2.6	6.76			
	105A	4	41.2		3.0	9.00			
	106D	I	41.7	39.6	2.1	4.41			_
	106D	2	40.5		1.3	1.69	$\sum (X)$	$(-X2)^2 - (\Sigma X1-X)$	(2)
	106D	3	41.0		2.0	4.00	²/n	(
	106D	4	37.5	37.2	0.3	0.09	11		
1994		1	42.2	40.0	2.2	4.84	SE diff =		
1994		2	42.5	40.3	2.2	4.84		(n) (n-1)	
1994		3	41.8	40.3	1.5	2.25			***
1994	108B	4	41.4	39.5	1.9	3.61			
							1 52.54 - ($((23.4)^2/12)$	
		SUM	495.1	471.7	23.4	52.54	SE diff = 1		
		MEAN	41.26	39.31	1.95	= d	i i	2) (11)	
							. (-/ (/	
Locat	ion Ke	y:							
							SE diff = SQRT of	0.052	
			Minnesota				SE diff =	0.229	
106D:	Redv	vood Fa	lls, Minneso	ta			t = d/SE diff =	8.523	
108B:	Pipes	tone, Mi	nnesota				df =	11	
							Prob > t =	0.00000356	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
									

PVP A	pplico		nc, 4 Soybean						
May 2,	<u>, 1995</u>	<u> </u>							
		ļ							
			parison of sidred seeds		2480 fo	or seed			
YEAR	FOC	REP	2480 (X1)	9244 (X2)	X1-X2	(X1-X2) ²	Ave X1 =	19.29	
			seed size	g/100 sd	s)		Ave X2 =	16.88	
·	105A		19.5			9.00	d = (Ave X1 - Ave	e X2 2.42	
	105A		19.5	17.0			n =	12	groups of individual
	105A	3	19.0	15.5		12.25			
	105A	4	19.0	15.5		12.25			
	106D	1	20.0	17.5	2.5	6.25			
	106D	2	19.0	17.5	1.5	2.25	Σ	$(X1-X2)^2 - (\Sigma X1-X)^2$	2)
	106D	3	20.5	17.5	3.0	9.00			
1994		4	18.5	17.0	1.5	2.25	•		
1994		1	19.0	17.0	2.0	4.00			
1994		2	18.0	17.0	1.0	1.00	\	(n)(n-1)	
1994		3	19.5	17.0	2.5	6.25			
1994	108B	4	20.0	17.5	2.5	6.25			
								.00 - ((29.0) ² /12)	
		SUM	231.5	202.5			SE diff = +		
		MEAN	19.29	16.88	2.42	= d	V	(12) (11)	
r 				1			_		
Locat	ion ke	y:					AT ACDT (0.050	
1054-	Man	ما امدادم ۹		\vdash			SE diff = SQRT of	0.052	
			Vinnesota				SE diff =	0.229	
			ls, Minnesot				t = d/SE diff = df =	10.557	
(מסטו	ripes	tone, Mi	nnesora				or = Prob > t =	0.00000043	
•								0.0000043	
									
									

Pione	er Hi-E	Bred Int'l Ir			-	1			
			1 Soybean		1		Formula for Stan	dard Error Calculations:	
	, 1995				1		Tormana for Sean	dard Error Calculations.	- 1
			1	2011				$(X1-X2)^2 - (\Sigma X1-X2)^2/n$.
				9244 vs. 920			11 '	(A1-A2) - (ZA1-A2) / D	۱ .
				year analysi					ŀ
ız ae.	rermir	iea by Hi	PLC and re	corded as	a perc	ent of	\	(n) (n-1)	-
<u>totai</u>	palm	itic, steari	ic, oleic, li	noleic and I	linolenia	c acids.	」	, , ,	1
EAD	LOC	DED	0203 (VI)	9244 (X2)	V1 V0	V1 V01 ²			
-///	100	1727		nt stearic ac		(11-12)			
1003	106D	1	4.2	·		0.25	1002 ANALVOIC		
	106D			···			1993 ANALYSIS	4.04	
	106D			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Ave X1 =	4.06	
	106D						Ave X2 =	3.69	
1993		1				0.09	d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2		
1993		2				0.16	SE diff = SQRT of	0.001	
		3					SE diff =	0.033	
1993 1993		3		3.6			t = d/SE diff =	11.000	
					0.5		df =	0.0000000	
	109C]	3.9				Prob > t =	0.00000028	
	109C	2	3.8			0.04	1993 Standard Erro	r Calculation:	
	109C	3	3.9			0.09			
	109C	4	3.7			0.04	1.76	- ((4.4) ² /12)	
1993		SUM	48.7				SE diff ₉₃ =		
		MEAN	4.06				V (12) (11)	
		n≔	12	groups of it	ndividu	als	<u> </u>		
100	10-								
	105A	1	4.0			0.25	1994 ANALYSIS		
	105A	2	4.1	3.5	0.6	0.36	Ave X1 =	4.07	
	105A	4	4.1	3.6	0.5	0.25	Ave X2 =	3.59	
	106D	1	4.2	3.6	0.6	0.36	d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	0.48	
	106D	2:	4.]	3.6	0.5	0.25	SE diff = SQRT of	0.00088	
	106D	3	4.2	3.6	0.6	0.36	SE diff =	0.0296	
1994		4	4,1	3.7	0.4	0.16	t = d/SE diff =	16.279	
1994		1	3.9	3.6	0.3	0.09	df =	10	
1994		2	4.0	3.5	0.5	0.25	Prob > t =	0.000000159	
1994	108B	3	4,1	3.7	0.4	0.16	1994 Standard Erro	r Calculation:	
1994	108B	4	4.0	3.6	0.4	0.16			
994		SUM	44.8	39.5	5.3	2.65	1 265	· ((5.3) ² /11)	• •
		MEAN	4.07	3.59	0.48		SE diff ₉₃ =	((3.5) / 11)	
		n =	11	groups of ir			·[]	1) (10)	
				Ī			T	-, (+0)	
TAL		SUM	93.5	83.8	9.7	4.41	COMBINED 1993-94	ANALYSIS	
		MEAN	4.07	3.64	0.42		Ave X1 =	4.07	
		n =	23	groups of in	ndividu	als	Ave X2 =	3.64	
							d = (Ave X1 - Ave X2	0.42	
ocati	on Ke	y:					SE diff = SQRT of	0.00063	
							SE diff =	0.025	
				(data for 9	244 in r	ep	t = d/SE diff =	16.793	
was	missin	g in 1994)).				df =	22	
			, Minneso	ta			Prob > t =	0.00000000000005	
		ents, Min	-			<u> </u>	Combined Standard		
		one, Min				 	H Combined Standard	Error Calculation:	
	-	on, Minne					 	1	
	110					 		- ((9.7) ² /23)	
						-	SE diff _{comb} = 1		
						-	↓ \ \ (2	3) (22)	

EXHIBIT (

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVES FOCK, MEAT, SHAIN & SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

NAME OF ACCUSE		
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION VARIETY NAME	
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.	9244	
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Cod.		
700 Capitar Square	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER	
400 Locust Street	l l	
Des Moines, IA 50309	9600058	
m your answer is rewer than the number of boxes provided.	iety in the features described below. When the number of significant diplace a zero in the first box when number is 9 or less (e.g., 0 9). nate soybean variety description. Other characters should be described	gi
1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2) 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)	2 = Spherical Flattened (L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2) 4 = Elongate Flattened (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)	
★ 2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)		_
1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black 5 = Other (Specify)	
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)		-
1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Nebsoy	'; 'Gasoy 17'}	
★ 4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)		_
1 7 Grams per 100 seeds		
5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)		_
2	= Gray 5 = Imperfect Black 6 = Black 7 = Other (Specify)	
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)		=
1 = Yellow 2 = Green		
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:		_
2 1 = Low 2 = High		
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:		
1 = Type A (SP1 ⁸) 2 = Type B (SP1 ^b)	en de la companya de	
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:		_
3 1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green with br 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71') 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'; 'Co	ronze band below cotyledons ('Woodworth'; 'Tracy') ker Hampton 266A')	
10. LEAFLET SHAPE:		-
3 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate	4 = Other (Specify)	

FORM LMGS-470-57 (6-83)

(Edition of 2-82 is obsolete.)

19.	DISEASE REACTI	ON: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible	le; 2 = Resistant) (Continued)	
	FUNGAL DISEA	SES: (Continued)	·	
. *	1 Pod and St	em Blight <i>(Diaporthe phaseolorum</i> var; so,	iae)	·
	1 Purple Seed	d Stain (Cercospora kikuchii)		
	1 Rhizoctoni	a Root Rot <i>(Rhizoctonia solani)</i>		
	Phytophthe	ora Rot <i>(Phytophthora megasperma</i> var. so	niae)	
*	2 Race 1	2 Race 2 1 Race 3	1 Race 4 1 Race 5	0 Race 6 1 Race 7
	1 Race 8	1 Race 9 2 Other (Speci	ify) 10, 13, 16, 17	
	VIRAL DISEASES	S:		
	Bud Blight	(Tobacco Ringspot Virus)		
	1 Yellow Mos	aic (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)		
*	1 Cowpea Mo	saic (Cowpea Chlorotic Virus)	. •	
	1 Pod Mottle	(Bean Pod Mottle Virus)		
*	1 Seed Mottle	(Soybean Mosaic Virus)		
	NEMATODE DISE	ASES:	•	
	Soybean Cy:	t Nematode (Heterodera glycines)		
*	0 Race 1	0 Race 2 1 Race 3	0 Race 4 Other (S)	naciful
	0 Lance Nema	tode (Hoplolaimus Colombus)	O (3,	
*	7	ot Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne incognit	ra)	
*		ot Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne Hapla)		
		Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne arenaria)		
		matode (Rotylenchulus reniformis)		
1	=={	EASE NOT ON FORM (Specify):		
		- Control of the topic of the t		
20. PI	YSIOLOGICAL RE	SPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Su	sceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
* [1 Iron Chlorosis	on Calcareous Soil	•	
[Other (Specif	y)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21. IN	SECT REACTION:	(Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2	= Resistant)	
[0 Mexican Bean	Beetle (Epilachna varivestis)		
ſ			•	
Ī	Other (Specify			
22 IN				
	HARACTER	RIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES T		
	nt Shape	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
-	f Shape	9252	Seed Coat Luster	Hardin
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Color	Hardin 0062	Seed Size	9203
	Size	9062 Hardin	Seed Shape Seedling Pigmentation	9162
		nardin	Security Figurentation	9181
ORM L	/IGS-470-57 (6-83)			3,000.6

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS	PLANT LODGING	CM PLANT	LEAFL	ET SIZE	SEED CO	VTENT	SEED SIZE G/100	NO. SEEDS
	MATURITY	SCORE	HEIGHT	CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oii	SEEDS	POD
9244 Submitted	136.5	1.6	73	5.0	9.8	39.3	23.0	16.9	3
9203 Name of milar Variety	137.1	2.0	73	5.4	10.2	38.6	23.1	16.7	3

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T, 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A₂ in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-4210 30
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol.

9244 PVP Application

EXHIBIT D.

In Exhibit C we have identified 9244 as susceptible to bacterial blight, brown spot, pod and stem blight, rhizoctonia root rot, bud blight, yellow mosaic, cowpea mosaic, pod mottle and seed mottle. This does not mean we consider 9244 to be worse than other varieties of similar maturity in reaction to these challenges. Rather, we have chosen to be conservative and have identified 9244 as "susceptible".

Variety 9244 is a mid group II variety. If group II maturities are divided into tenths, the relative maturity of 9244 is 2.4.

EXHIBIT E: STATEMENT OF THE BASIS OF APPLICANT'S OWNERSHIP

Variety '9244' was originated and developed by plant breeders (U.S. nationals) from whom, by agreement, Pioneer Hi-Bred has obtained exclusive rights to protect and market variety '9244'. No rights to such invention, discovery, or development are retained by the plant breeders or by any other party.